

Curriculum Restructuring for Sustainability: Challenges and Opportunities

Prerana Pushp

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, A.N. College, Patliputra University, Patna, Bihar (Email: preranapushp80@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

The twenty-First century has brought unprecedented environmental challenges, resource depletion, climate change, biodiversity loss, scarcity of resources, increasing population and many socioeconomic challenges. To meet these challenges, there is a need to rethink the role of education in fostering sustainable development. It requires the shifting of traditional education into dynamic, integrated and system-based learning. Education acts as a catalyst that creates responsible citizens and innovative thinkers to address these complex challenges. Education encompasses the knowledge, skills, values and attitudes required to make informed decisions that promote environmental stewardship, social equity, and economic resilience. Every nation should integrate these competencies into the curriculum so that every citizen can contribute effectively to sustainable societies. The curriculum restructuring for sustainability is a strategic investment in future generations.

The present paper is an attempt to shed light on challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation of policy frameworks in the process of restructuring the curriculum. By aligning coursework with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) institutions prepare students to tackle real-world challenges while meeting rapidly involving industry demand.

Keywords: Curriculum Restructuring, Resource Depletion, Biodiversity, Scarcity of resources, Environmental Stewardship, Economic Resilience.

INTRODUCTION

The prominence of education in fostering sustainability became relevant in 1992. The Earth Summit held in the year 1992 in Rio de Janeiro highlighted education as an essential element of sustainable development. This was reiterated when the United Nations approved the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in September 2015. The UN emphasized the role of education as a vital component for achieving many other sustainable development goals. Sustainable Development Goal 4 entails providing

inclusive and equitable quality education, while Sustainable Development Goal 4.7 emphasized the need for learners to acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to achieve sustainability. Achieving sustainability is a continuous process that involves starting from primary education to secondary and tertiary education. Thus, there is a need to restructure our traditional education into a modern education. The challenge is that when including sustainability in policy documents, there is a requirement to include climate change, biodiversity or sustainable development in the curriculum. UNESCO's recent monitoring shows that while environmental and sustainability content is present in many national curriculum frameworks, the inclusion of climate change and biodiversity is uneven across subjects and levels of education. Curricular restructuring not only involves the inclusion of environmental chapters but also requires rethinking of educational objectives, subject boundaries, teaching methods, assessment systems, teacher preparation and the relationship between educational institutions and their communities.

UNESCO's recent Greening Curriculum Guidance emphasis an action-oriented, holistic, scientifically accurate and justice-driven approach to climate change and sustainability. It also proposes learning outcomes across age groups and encourages addressing sustainability issues across subjects rather than being confined to one area of study.

The burning question is how the curriculum can be restructured in a way that is meaningful, practical, inclusive and capable of bringing about desirable changes in knowledge, attitudes and behaviour.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To analyse the need for curriculum restructuring for sustainability
2. To identify the major challenges
3. To analyse the opportunities

METHODOLOGY

The present research paper is conceptual and descriptive research design to examine the challenges and opportunities associated with restructuring curriculum for sustainability. The study uses a systematic literature review approach to identify, analyse and synthesize relevant literature on sustainability education, curriculum reform, sustainability competencies, and institutional transformation.

Meaning of Curriculum Restructuring

Curriculum restructuring refers to a systematic reconsideration and redesign of curriculum goals, content, learning experiences, teaching methods and assessment practices. It goes beyond the mere revision of a textbook or introduction of a new subject.

A curriculum is not just a list of topics. It embodies a particular understanding of what knowledge is important, what skills learners need, what values society wants to promote and what kind of citizens education aims to develop.

Therefore, curriculum restructuring for sustainability entails redesigning the curriculum so that learners develop the knowledge, skills, values and attitudes necessary to understand sustainability. It demands an epistemological shift towards systems thinking, interdisciplinary collaboration and trans formative action-oriented learning.

Context of the Study

Curriculum restructuring is not just about adding environmental topics to the existing syllabus. But it represents a fundamental shift from knowledge transmission towards sustainability-oriented competencies, critical thinking, problem solving, collaboration, ethical reasoning and responsible action. Education systems worldwide face pressure to address the Sustainable Development Goals and the escalating climate crisis, yet most curricula remain siloed, treating sustainability as an add-on rather than a core competency. Traditional disciplinary boundaries make it hard for students to grasp interconnected environmental, social, and economic challenges. This gap has pushed policymakers and educators to reconsider how sustainability is taught not as a standalone subject, but woven into the fabric of curriculum design across disciplines. Adding environmental subjects to the current syllabus is only one aspect of curriculum revision. However, it signifies a significant change from the dissemination of knowledge to competencies focused on systematic thinking, critical thinking, problem-solving, teamwork, ethical reasoning and responsible action. The SDGs and the growing climate disaster are pressing issues for education systems around the world, yet most curricula still treat sustainability as an add-on rather than a fundamental skill. Students find it challenging to understand interconnected environmental, social, and economic concerns because of traditional disciplinary barriers. This disparity has forced policymakers and educators to reevaluate how sustainability is taught, integrating it into curriculum design across disciplines rather than treating it as a stand-alone subject.

Significance of the Study

Curriculum plays a critical role in shaping the future, as it gives shape to knowledge, attitudes, values and competencies, which form the base of human capital. In the changing scenario of the economy, there is an urgent need to restructure the curriculum according to the need of the day. It can help policymakers, educators and institutions to be better aligned with contemporary sustainability challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on curriculum restructuring for sustainability has expanded significantly as education systems increasingly recognise the need to respond to environmental,

social and economic challenges. Sterling (2001) argued that sustainability requires a transformation of educational thinking rather than simply adding environmental topics to existing curricula. He suggested that education should move from conventional transmission of knowledge towards participatory, critical and trans formative learning.

Lozano (2006) examined the integration of sustainability into university curricula and emphasised that sustainability should not be confined to individual courses. Instead, it should be incorporated across disciplines and institutional activities. The study highlighted the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in developing students' understanding of complex sustainability issues.

Barth et al. (2007) focused on sustainability competencies in higher education and argued that universities should prepare students not only with knowledge but also with the ability to apply that knowledge to real-world sustainability problems. Their work established the importance of competence-oriented curriculum development.

Vare and Scott (2007) distinguished between different approaches to Education for Sustainable Development (ESD). They argued that effective sustainability education should encourage learners to critically examine existing practices and develop the capacity to create alternative and more sustainable futures.

Cotton et al. (2007) examined sustainability education in higher education and highlighted the importance of participatory and active teaching methods. Their findings suggested that traditional teacher-centred approaches may not be sufficient for developing the critical and practical skills required for sustainability.

Shephard (2008) argued that sustainability education should address cognitive, affective and behavioural dimensions of learning. According to the study, merely providing information about environmental problems does not necessarily lead to sustainable behaviour; therefore, curriculum design should also address values, attitudes and action.

Tilbury (2011) emphasised that ESD should promote participatory, experiential and action-oriented learning. She argued that curriculum restructuring should provide learners with opportunities to engage with real-world sustainability problems rather than relying exclusively on classroom-based theoretical learning.

Wiek et al. (2011) developed a framework of key sustainability competencies, identifying systems-thinking, anticipatory, normative, strategic and interpersonal competencies as particularly important. Their work has become influential in sustainability curriculum design because it demonstrates the competencies students need to address complex sustainability challenges.

Rieckmann (2012) further highlighted the importance of sustainability competencies and argued that education should enable learners to understand complex systems, anticipate future consequences, make responsible decisions and participate actively in sustainability transitions.

Lozano et al. (2013) examined sustainability initiatives in higher education institutions and found that although many universities formally recognise sustainability, implementation is often limited by institutional structures, inadequate resources and insufficient integration across university functions. The study indicates that curriculum restructuring requires broader institutional support.

Wals (2014) argued that sustainability education requires a transformation of the learning environment itself. He emphasised social learning, experimentation, participation and collaboration and suggested that universities should become spaces where sustainable practices are experienced rather than merely discussed.

Cebrián et al. (2015) investigated approaches to developing sustainability competencies in higher education and highlighted the importance of embedding sustainability within learning outcomes, teaching strategies and assessment practices. Their findings suggest that curriculum restructuring must be accompanied by changes in pedagogy and evaluation.

Leal Filho et al. (2015) examined sustainability practices in higher education and identified the importance of institutional commitment and integration. Their work suggests that sustainability cannot be achieved through isolated curriculum initiatives without appropriate organizational structures and leadership.

Chakraborty et al. (2016) examined barriers to restructuring university curricula for a sustainable future. The study highlighted challenges such as institutional constraints, limited faculty preparedness, inadequate resources and difficulties in integrating sustainability into existing disciplinary structures. This study is particularly relevant for understanding the challenges faced by Indian higher education institutions.

UNESCO (2017) provided learning objectives for ESD linked to the Sustainable Development Goals. The framework emphasized competencies such as systems thinking, critical thinking, collaboration, problem-solving and responsible decision-making. It demonstrated the need for curricula to move beyond conventional academic knowledge towards competencies required for sustainable development.

Rieckmann (2018) further emphasized that sustainability competencies should be incorporated into educational programmes at different levels. The study identified critical thinking, systems thinking, collaboration, strategic thinking and problem-solving as important competencies for sustainable development.

Filho et al. (2018) examined the relationship between higher education and the Sustainable Development Goals and argued that universities have an important role in translating the SDGs into educational, research and institutional practices. Their findings support the integration of sustainability throughout university curricula and institutional activities.

Brundiers et al. (2021) used an international Delphi process to refine sustainability competencies and highlighted the importance of students' ability to analyse complex systems, envision sustainable futures, work collaboratively and develop strategies for change. The study provides a useful foundation for competency-based curriculum restructuring.

Lorente-Echeverría et al. (2022) systematically reviewed research on curriculum sustainability and found that sustainability curriculum research increasingly focuses on teaching methodologies, curriculum integration and the development of sustainability competencies. However, the study also identified challenges associated with institutional structures and implementation.

Parry (2022) identified several barriers to learning for sustainability, including disciplinary silos, high-stakes assessment, inadequate professional development, limited time, insufficient funding and shortages of appropriate curriculum materials. The study demonstrates that curriculum reform requires changes beyond curriculum documents themselves.

Recent research continues to highlight the importance of teachers in sustainability curriculum implementation. Studies examining teachers' perceptions of ESD suggest that teachers' knowledge, attitudes, confidence and professional development opportunities strongly influence whether sustainability principles are successfully translated into classroom practice. Therefore, curriculum restructuring without corresponding investment in teacher education may have limited effectiveness.

Almusharraf (2026) identified governance limitations, inadequate funding, weak stakeholder collaboration, curriculum-integration difficulties and institutional capacity gaps as recurring barriers to sustainability implementation in higher education. The study demonstrates that sustainability curriculum reform is strongly influenced by broader institutional and governance conditions.

Lorente-Echeverría et al. (2026) reviewed a large body of higher-education sustainability research and observed that curriculum and pedagogy remain central areas of research. However, institutional inertia, fragmented policies and difficulties in translating sustainability commitments into actual practices continue to restrict progress.

The reviewed literature indicates that curriculum restructuring for sustainability is a multidimensional process rather than a simple modification of syllabus content. The studies consistently emphasize the need for interdisciplinary learning, sustainability competencies, experiential pedagogy, teacher professional development and innovative assessment. At the same time, curriculum rigidity, disciplinary silos, inadequate resources, lack of teacher preparedness, institutional resistance and weak leadership remain major challenges.

An important gap emerges from the literature. While considerable research has examined Education for Sustainable Development, sustainability competencies and sustainability in

higher education, comparatively less attention has been given to an integrated framework that simultaneously examines curriculum content, pedagogy, assessment, teacher preparedness, institutional support and stakeholder participation. Furthermore, there is scope for more context-specific research in developing countries, particularly India, where educational institutions face considerable variations in resources, institutional capacity and regional sustainability challenges. The present study therefore seeks to address this gap by examining the challenges and opportunities associated with restructuring curricula for sustainability and by exploring how curriculum, pedagogy, assessment and institutional practices can be aligned with sustainable development objectives.

Challenges Faced in Restructuring the Curriculum

Curriculum restructuring for sustainability is a significant educational reform, but it is difficult to accomplish because sustainability is multidisciplinary and calls for adjustment to teaching strategies, evaluation, teacher preparation, and institutional procedures in addition to curriculum content. Many research results show that the transition from conventional curriculum to sustainability-oriented education faces several interconnected challenges.

Rigid curriculum: Our traditional education system is rigid in nature. It only focuses on specified subjects, examination oriented and degree completion. It is very perceived to add sustainability as an additional topic rather than an integral dimension of existing subjects.

Disciplinary Isolation: Disciplinary isolation creates difficulties in addressing sustainability holistically. Our educational systems are frequently organised into separate academic disciplines, whereas sustainability problems involve environmental, economic, social and ethical dimensions. Such educational disciplinary silos make interdisciplinary curriculum development and collaboration difficult.

Inadequate teacher knowledge and Professional development: The teacher must be capable to transit sustainability policies into classroom practices, but due to lack of pedagogical approach and professional development, effective restructuring is far away. There should be professional development training and opportunities for educators to develop sustainability competencies.

Traditional teaching and assessment Practices: Our conventional education system often prioritises memorisation, but when the idea of sustainability came, it requires critical thinking and action-oriented learning. UNESCO described these transversal competencies as important for educators so that they tackle complex sustainability problems.

Institutional supports are inadequate: Inadequate institutional support and leadership can prevent curriculum reforms from becoming sustainable. Curriculum change requires supportive leadership, appropriate policies, financial resources, faculty participation and collaboration among different stakeholders.

Lack of Infrastructure: Lack of infrastructure becomes a barrier in the implementation of curriculum restructuring. Resources are limited, and it is difficult to allocate resources to meet the requirements of curriculum restructuring for sustainability.

Lack of teaching resources: Lack of teaching resources acts as an obstacle in the way of restructuring the curriculum.

Conceptual ambiguity surrounding sustainability creates another challenge. Sustainable development encompasses environmental, social and economic dimensions, and its complexity can make it difficult for teachers and curriculum designers to establish clear learning outcomes.

OPPORTUNITIES

Curriculum restructuring for development opens up powerful opportunities to change education from a system focused mainly on knowledge to one that builds the skills, values and behaviour needed to tackle complex environmental, economic and social problems. Sustainable development demands individuals who can think clearly, see connections and help create solutions. That is why reworking the curriculum offers a chance to bring education in line with the values of sustainable development and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

One key opportunity is to weave sustainability throughout all subjects. Instead of treating it as just one subject, sustainability can be included in economics, science, geography, political science, commerce and sociology. For example, in economics, students can learn about consumption, green growth and the circular economy. In science, topics like climate change, renewable energy and biodiversity can be explored. This kind of interdisciplinary approach helps students see how different areas of life are connected when it comes to sustainability.

A second major opportunity is building sustainability competencies. Curriculum reform can help move from rote learning and focus on skills like critical thinking, systems thinking, problem-solving, teamwork, communication, creativity and future-oriented planning. These skills allow students to examine real-life sustainability issues and come up with solutions.

Curriculum reform also opens the door to ways of teaching and learning. Methods like project-based learning, experiential learning, problem-based learning, fieldwork and community-based learning help connect classroom lessons with real-world situations. Students can work on projects related to waste management, water conservation, protecting biodiversity, energy efficiency and sustainable farming. These methods encourage hands-on involvement and deeper understanding.

Another important opportunity is preparing students for jobs. As economies grow more focused on energy, sustainable agriculture, green technology and circular production,

education can help students enter these new fields. Colleges and universities can offer courses in management, sustainable finance, green entrepreneurship and sustainable business practices.

Technology also plays a role in advancing sustainability education. Digital tools like simulations, online learning platforms, geographic information systems, virtual labs and environmental monitoring systems can help students grasp topics more easily. Technology can also connect students, teachers, researchers and communities, enabling collaboration and shared learning.

Curriculum restructuring can also bring indigenous knowledge into the classroom. Many sustainable solutions work best when they take into account conditions and traditional wisdom. Including community knowledge, regional environmental issues and case studies from areas makes the curriculum more relevant and meaningful. In India examples related to water systems, farming, forests, livelihoods and rural development can enrich sustainability education.

Another benefit is the ties between universities, communities and industries. Educational institutions can partner with governments, NGOs, businesses and community groups. These partnerships can give students access to field research, internships and sustainability projects. Such collaborations help close the gap between theory and practice.

Finally, curriculum restructuring can support a whole-institution approach to sustainability. Schools and colleges can align their teaching, research, campus operations and community outreach with sustainability principles. When a college teaches waste management and also practices waste segregation on campus, students get a real-life example of what sustainability means.

In short, curriculum restructuring is not just about adding topics to the old syllabus. It is about changing the purpose, content, teaching methods, assessment and culture of education. With trained teachers, proper resources, institutional support, and the right policies, curriculum restructuring can help create citizens who care about the environment, are socially aware and capable of contributing to the future.

CONCLUSION

Structuring the curriculum for sustainable development is a crucial step in transforming education into a robust tool for tackling current environmental, economic, and social issues. Conventional curricula typically focus on disciplinary knowledge and exam-driven learning, while sustainable development necessitates skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving, collaboration, innovation, and responsible decision-making. By restructuring the curriculum, we can create opportunities to weave sustainability into various disciplines, foster experiential and project-based learning, cultivate green skills, promote digital innovation,

and align education with the needs of local communities. Nonetheless, the successful transformation of the curriculum encounters several obstacles, including inadequate teacher training, limited financial and technological resources, institutional resistance, inflexible curriculum frameworks, and a lack of coordination among stakeholders. Thus, curriculum reform should extend beyond merely adding sustainability topics to current syllabi. It demands a comprehensive approach that encompasses curriculum content, teaching methods, assessment practices, teacher training, institutional policies, and community involvement. The effectiveness of restructuring the curriculum with a sustainability focus relies on robust policy backing, ongoing professional development for educators, sufficient resources, and active engagement from students and communities. Educational institutions should also strive to serve as exemplars of sustainable practices. In summary, curriculum restructuring poses both challenges and significant opportunities to cultivate sustainability competencies and equip learners to effectively address future challenges. A thoughtfully designed and context-aware curriculum can ultimately lead to more inclusive, resilient, and sustainable societies.

REFERENCES

- Barth, M., Godemann, J., Rieckmann, M., & Stoltenberg, U. (2007). Developing key competencies for sustainable development in higher education. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 8(4), 416–430.
- Bianchi, G. (2020). *Sustainability competence development in higher education: An analysis of the literature*. 12(23), 10393.
- Brundiers, K., Wiek, A., & Redman, C. L. (2010). Real-world learning opportunities in sustainability: From classroom into the real world. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 11(4), 308–324.
- Cebrián, G., & Junyent, M. (2015). Competencies in education for sustainable development: Exploring the student teachers' views. *Sustainability*, 7(3), 2768–2786.
- Cotton, D. R. E., Warren, M. F., Maiboroda, O., & Bailey, I. (2007). Sustainable development, higher education and pedagogy: A study of lecturers' beliefs and attitudes. *Environmental Education Research*, 13(5), 579–597.
- Lozano, R., Barreiro-Gen, M., Pietikäinen, J., Gago-Cortes, C., & others. (2022). Adopting sustainability competence-based education in academic disciplines: Insights from 13 higher education institutions.
- Rieckmann, M. (2012). Future-oriented higher education: Which key competencies should be fostered through university teaching and learning? *Futures*, 44(2), 127–135.
- Rieckmann, M. (2018). Learning to transform the world: Key competencies in Education for Sustainable Development. In A. Leicht, J. Heiss, & W. J. Byun (Eds.), *Issues and trends in education for sustainable development* (pp. 39–59). UNESCO.
- Shephard, K. (2008). Higher education for sustainability: Seeking affective learning outcomes. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 9(1), 87–98.
- Sipos, Y., Battisti, B., & Grimm, K. (2008). Achieving transformative sustainability learning: Engaging head, hands and heart. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 9(1), 68–86.

- United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations.
- UNESCO. (2017). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2020). *Education for sustainable development: A roadmap*. UNESCO.
- Vare, P., & Scott, W. (2007). Learning for a change: Exploring the relationship between education and sustainable development. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 1(2), 191–198.
- Lozano, R. (2022). Developing a sustainability competences paradigm in higher education or a white elephant? *Sustainable Development*, 30(5), 870–883.
- Choker, K. B. (2010). Higher education and curriculum innovation for sustainable development in India. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 11(2), 141–152.
- Ravindra nath, M. J. (2007). Environmental education in teacher education in India: Experiences and challenges in the United Nation's Decade of Education for Sustainable Development. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 33(2), 191–206.
- Priyadarshini, P., & Abhilash, P. C. (2019). From piecemeal to holistic: Introducing sustainability science in Indian universities to attain UN-Sustainable Development Goals. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 1313–1321.
- D'Souza, C., Brahme, M., & Babu, M. S. (2020). Environment education in Indian schools: The search for a new language. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 14(2), 174–189.
- Alexandar, R. (2012). Environmental education for sustainable development in selected schools of Puducherry and Cuddalore regions, India [Doctoral dissertation, Pondicherry University].
- Rajput, N. (2019). Education for sustainable development: A theoretical model of sustainable education for India. *Journal of the Gujarat Research Society*, 21(14).
- Yadava, S. (2022). Education for sustainable development in India: Reality check on ground zero. *International Education and Research Journal*.
- Kaur, A. (2026). Integrating sustainability in higher education system: An Indian policy review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 13, 713.
- Joseph, S., Patil, K., Kulkarni, A. V., & John, M. (2025). *Sustainability education in India*. In The Routledge handbook of global sustainability education and thinking for the 21st century (pp. 876–899).
- Nannavare, N. R., Kharade, V. B., & Khatriya, P. R. (2023). A study on India's New Education Policy in science education: Aligning curriculum with sustainability goals. *International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*, 12(7), 644–652.
- Kumar, P., Caporarello, L., & Agrawal, A. (2024). Research from the Global North and South on HEIs as a driver for SDGs. In *Higher education for the Sustainable Development Goals: Bridging the Global North and South*. Emerald Publishing.